arXiv:1203.6548v1 [hep-th] 29 Mar 2012

Preprint typeset in JHEP style - HYPER VERSION IFT-UAM/CSIC-12-21;FTUAM-12-85

Consistent Asymptotic Scalar Wavefunction in de Sitter Space.

Enrique Álvarez

Instituto de Física Teórica UAM/CSIC and Departamento de Física Teórica
Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, E-28049–Madrid, Spain
E-mail: `enrique.alvarez@uam.es`

Abstract: The approximate late conformal time wavefunction for self-interacting scalar quantum fields in de Sitter space is computed. It dominates for conformal times much larger than a certain critical value, $\eta = \eta_{\text{crit}}$ which depends on the dimension and on other characteristics of the matter fields. Its self-consistency (i.e., whether the back reaction is consistent with the de Sitter symmetry) is analyzed.

Contents

1. Introduction 2

2. Heat Kernel for Time Dependent Hamiltonians 4

3. The Heat Kernel in de Sitter at $\eta \geq \eta_{\mathbf{crit}}$ 6

4. Energy-momentum tensor and self-consistency. 12

5. Divergences. 17

6. Conclusions 20

1. Introduction

The object of the present paper is to propose a candidate for the quantum field wave function corresponding to late conformal time in de Sitter space in Schrödinger's picture.

The metric of n-dimensional de Sitter reads, in conformally flat coordinates, in terms of a *conformal time*, η

$$ds^2 = l^2 \frac{d\eta^2 - \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j}{\eta^2}$$

Here $l \equiv \frac{1}{H}$ is the radius of de Sitter space, the inverse of the Hubble constant, H. Expanding Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) coordinates are defined by

$$\eta \equiv -H\ e^{-Ht}$$

such that

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - e^{2Ht} \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j$$

The asymptotic limit in this sense is then $t \to \infty$ that is, $\eta \to 0$. The conformal time runs from $\eta = -\infty$ (when $t = -\infty$) to $\eta = 0$ (when $t = +\infty$).

An interacting scalar field in de Sitter space is equivalent (modulo some boundary terms) to a field in flat space with conformal time-dependent mass [1]:

$$m^2(\eta) = \frac{\hat{m}^2}{\eta^2}$$

where

$$\hat{m}^2 \equiv \frac{m^2}{H^2} - \frac{n(n-2)}{4}$$

and quartic self-coupling dressed with a factor [1]

$$\lambda (H\eta)^{n-4}$$

To be specific, the minkowskian lagrangian for the dressed field

$$\phi \equiv (H\eta)^{\frac{2-n}{2}} \psi$$

(where ψ is the standard canonically normalized scalar field propagating in de Sitter space) reads

$$L = \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - m(\eta)^2 \phi^2 \right) - \frac{\lambda(\eta)}{24} \phi^4 + \frac{n-2}{4} \frac{d}{d\eta} \left(\frac{\phi^2}{\eta} \right)$$

This naively means that for *low dimension* (id est, $n \leq 3$), the asymptotic limit ($\eta \to 0$) is strongly coupled. Nevertheless, when $n = 3$ the mass term still dominates asymptotically. Only in two dimensions the interactions are of the same order as the mass term.

For *high dimension* ($n > 4$) instead interactions are negligible asymptotically, and the field is infinitely heavy in the limit. The wavefunctional in this limit is just a free wavefunctional with time dependent *mass*. The physically most interesting case $n = 4$ is a marginal one, with time-independent quartic interaction.

The meaningful comparison stems from the energy content of both terms. This tells us that the quartic coupling is negligible as long as

$$\frac{\lambda}{m^2}\phi^2(H\eta)^{n-2} << 1$$

Putting $\phi \equiv v \sim H^{\frac{n-2}{2}}$ (a benchmark value) this yields

$$\eta_{\text{crit}} \equiv \frac{1}{H^2}\left(\frac{m^2}{\lambda p}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-2}}$$

so that for

$$\eta >> \eta_{\text{crit}}$$

the mass terms dominates.

In momentum space for the spacelike coordinates (that is

$$\phi(\eta, x) \equiv \int \frac{d^{n-1}k}{(2\pi)^{n-1}}\ e^{ikx}\ \phi_k(\eta))$$

the field equation reads

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\eta^2} + k^2 + \frac{\hat{m}^2}{\eta^2}\right)\phi_k(z) = 0$$

It is plain to check the flat limit of the above by taking $H \to 0$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &\sim -H^{-1} + t \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial\eta} &= -\partial_t \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

The general solution of the equations of motion is easily found to be

$$\phi(k) = C_1\ \eta^{1/2}\ J_\nu(k\eta) + C_2\ \eta^{1/2}\ Y_\nu(k\eta)$$

with

$$\nu \equiv \frac{\sqrt{1 - 4\hat{m}^2}}{2}$$

In our case we would like to consider a self-interacting charged scalar field in de Sitter space (corresponding to a couple of ordinary scalar fields) as an example (nothing physically important is expected to depend on this assumption). Any such solution goes to the usual plane-wave solution in Minkowski space-time when $\eta = -\infty$. The behavior of the solution near $\eta = 0$ actually tells us that interactions are negligible only if the spacetime dimension is strictly bigger than four, $n > 4$ as advertised. On the other hand, the character of the solution changes steadily depending on whether $\hat{m}^2 > 1/4$ (in which case $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$) or $\hat{m}^2 < 1/4$, where $\nu \in \mathbb{I}$. The boundary case is $\nu = 0$, corresponding to $\hat{m}^2 = 1/4$.

2. Heat Kernel for Time Dependent Hamiltonians

Consider the formal didgonalization of a time-dependent hamiltonian

$$H(t)\phi_n(x,t) = E_n(t)\phi_n(x,t)$$
$$\sum_n \phi_n^*(x,t)\phi_n(y,t) = \delta_{xy}$$
$$\int dx \phi_n^*(x,t)\phi_m(x,t) = \delta_{nm}$$

Define the anti-hermitian matrix

$$B_{nm} \equiv \int_x \dot{\phi}_n^* \phi_m = -\int_x \phi_n^* \dot{\phi}_M = -B_{mn}^*$$

(that is, the matrix B is anti-hermitian, $B^+ = -B$)

and

$$\dot{\phi}_m = \sum_k B_{nk}^* \phi_k$$

The ansatz

$$\psi = \sum_n c_n(t)\phi_n(x,t)$$

leads to the matrix differential equation for the vector

$$c = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ \ldots \\ c_n \\ \ldots \end{pmatrix}$$

to wit

$$\dot{c} = (-iE + B)c$$

where $E \equiv diag(E_0, E_1, \ldots)$.

This is equivalent to the integral equation

$$c(t) = \int_{t_0}^{t} dt' \left(-iE + B\right)(t')c(t') + c(t_0)$$

whose solution can be written as

$$\psi(t)=\sum_n c_n T\ e^{-i\int_{t_0}^t (E-iB)dt'}\ \phi_n(x,t)$$

We can now make the educated guess (we follow conventions as in [2])

$$K\left(xt;yt_0\right)=tr\phi(x,t)T\ e^{-i\int_{t_0}^t (E-iB)(t')\ dt'}\phi^*(y,t_0)$$

We have by definition

$$\lim_{t\rightarrow t_0} K\left(xt;yt_0\right)=\delta(x-y)$$

Clearly this construction solves Schrödinger's equation, because,

$$H_x K\left(xt;yt_0\right)=tr\ \phi(x,t)E(t)T\ e^{-i\int_{t_0}^t (E-iB)(t')\ dt'}\phi^*(y,t)$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned}&i\partial_t K\left(xt;yt_0\right)=i\dot{\phi}_x T\phi^*_y+\phi_x(E+iB)T\ e^{-i\int_{t_0}^t (E-iB)(t')\ dt'}\phi^*_y=\\&-i\phi_x BT\ e^{-i\int_{t_0}^t (E-iB)(t')\ dt'}\phi^*_y+\phi_x(E+iB)T\ e^{-i\int_{t_0}^t (E-iB)(t')\ dt'}\phi^*_y=\\&tr\ \phi(x,t)E(t)T\ e^{-i\int_{t_0}^t (E-iB)(t')\ dt'}\phi^*(y,t_0)\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

It is also possible to prove the convolution

$$\int dy\ K(x,t;y,t_0)\ K(y,t_0;z,t)=\delta(x-z)$$

In practice it is usually simpler to compute Feynman's kernel (the Green's function for Schrödinger's equation), through the path integral formula

$$K[x_b,t;x_a,t_0]\equiv\int_{x(t_0)=x_a}^{x(t)=x_b}\mathcal{D}y\ e^{iS[x_c+y]}$$

where we have split

$$x(t)=x_c(t)+y(t)$$

Both classical and quantum pieces are subject to Dirichlet boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}x_c(t_0)&=x_a\\x_c(t)&=x_b\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

whereas

$$y(t_0)=y(t)=0.$$

3. The Heat Kernel in de Sitter at $\eta \geq \eta_{\mathbf{crit}}$

As has been already remarked in the Introduction, the result of mapping a charged scalar complex field in de Sitter space to Minkowski space-time results in a charged field with time-dependent mass:

$$S = \int d^n x \, \left(\partial_\mu \phi^* \partial^\mu \phi - \frac{\hat{m}^2}{2t^2}|\phi|^2\right) = \int d^n x \; \partial_\mu \left(\phi \partial^\mu \phi\right) - \phi^* \left(\Box + \frac{\hat{m}^2}{2t^2}\right) \phi \tag{3.1}$$

We have identified now the minkowskian time with the de Sitter conformal time

$$t \equiv -\eta$$

in order for it to run over the real half-line

$$0 \leq t \leq \infty.$$

The metric of de Sitter space reads

$$ds^2 = \frac{l^2}{t^2}\, \eta_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu$$

The functional integral yields

$$K[t, t_0] \equiv \; e^{iS[\phi_c]} \det \left(\Box + \frac{\hat{m}^2}{2t^2}\right)^{-1}$$

where the classical solution that obeys the boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_c(t_0) &= \phi_a \\ \phi_c(t) &= \phi_b \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

The determinant corresponds to quantum fluctuations obeying Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$y(t_0) = y(t) = 0$$

In order to compute it, consider a deformation of the D'Alembert operator

$$\Box_x(\xi) \equiv \Box_x + \xi m^2(t)$$

such that it interpolates between the ordinary flat d'Alembertian for $\xi = 0$ and the operator we are interested at $\xi = 1$

$$\Box_x(\xi = 1) = \Box + m^2(t)$$

Assuming a formal diagonalization (with Dirichlet boundary conditions)

$$\Box_x(\xi) y_n(\xi; x) = \lambda_n(\xi) y_n(\xi; x)$$

which can be orthonormalized

$$\langle y_n(\xi;x)|y_m(\xi;x)\rangle = \delta_{nm}$$

(where the eigenvalues are independent of x). It follows that

$$\text{Re}\ \langle y_n(\xi;x)|\partial_\xi y_n(\xi,x)\rangle = 0$$

and deriving the eigenvalue equation with respect to the deformation (as well as its complex conjugate)

$$m^2(t)y_n(\xi;x) + \Box_\xi \partial_\xi y_n(\xi;x) = \partial_\xi \lambda_n y_n(\xi;x) + \lambda_n \partial_\xi y_n(\xi;x)$$

$$\partial_\xi \lambda_n(\xi) = \langle y_n(\xi)|m^2(t)y_n(\xi)\rangle$$

The Dirichlet Green function is defined

$$G_\xi(x,x') \equiv \sum \frac{y_n^*(\xi;x)y_n(\xi;x')}{\lambda_n(\xi)}$$

It inherits the boundary conditions from the complete set of solutions.

$$G_\xi(t_{a,b},x') = G_\xi(x,t_{a,b}) = 0$$

It follows

$$\sum \frac{\partial_\xi \lambda_n(\xi)}{\lambda_n(\xi)} = \sum \frac{\langle y_n(\xi)|m^2(t)y_n(\xi)\rangle}{\lambda_n(\xi)} = m^2(t)G_\xi(x,x)$$

The determinant is formally defined as

$$\text{det}\Box_\xi \equiv \prod \lambda_n(\xi)$$

Then

$$\partial_\xi \text{log det}\Box_\xi = \sum \frac{\partial \lambda_n(\xi)}{\lambda_n(\xi)} = \text{tr}\ m^2(t)G_\xi(x,x) \equiv \int d^n x\ m^2(t)G_\xi(x,x)$$

Fourier transforming the spatial coordinates the equation for the Green function reads

$$\left(\partial_t^2 + k^2 + m^2(t)\right) G_\xi(t,t';k) = \delta(t-t')$$

and the problem reduces to an essentially one-dimensional one. We only have to remember that

$$\int d^n x\ m^2(t)\ G_\xi(x,x) = \ V_{n-1} \int \frac{d^{n-1}k}{(2\pi)^{n-1}} \int dt\ m^2(t)\ G_k(t,t)$$

where $V_{n-1} \equiv \int d^{n-1}x$ is the total spacial volume.

There is a trick for computing this trace in quantum mechanics (*confer* [7]). Start from an arbitrary fundamental solution, built out of two again arbitrary solutions through

$$\Delta_\xi(x,x') \equiv \frac{y_1(x)y_2(x')-y_1(x')y_2(x)}{y_1(x)\dot{y}_2(x)-y_2(x)\dot{y}_1(x)}$$

It obeys (no matter what the boundary conditions for the seed one-dimensional functions y_1 and y_2 were)

$$\begin{aligned} &\Box_\xi \Delta_\xi(x,x') = \delta(t-t') \\ &\Delta_\xi(x,x) = 0 \\ &\partial_t \Delta_\xi(x,x')\big|_{t=t'} = -1 \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

The derivative with respect to the deformation also obeys

$$\begin{aligned} &\Box_\xi \partial_\xi \Delta_\xi(t_0,t) = m^2(t)\Delta_\xi(t_0,t) \\ &\partial_\xi \Delta_\xi(t_0,t)\big|_{t=t_0} = 0 \\ &\partial_t \partial_\xi \Delta_\xi(t_0,t)\big|_{t=t_0} = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

It follows that

$$\partial_\xi \Delta_\xi(t_0,t) = \int_{t_0}^{t} dt'\ \Delta_\xi(t,t')m^2(t')\Delta_\xi(t_0,t')$$

so that at the endpoint $t = t$

$$\partial_\xi \Delta_\xi(t_0,t) = \int_{t_0}^{t} dt'\ \Delta_\xi(t,t')m^2(t')\Delta_\xi(t_0,t')$$

Now it is a matter of algebra to show that the Dirichlet Green function is given for $t_0 \leq t, t' \leq t$,

$$G_\xi(x,x') \equiv \frac{\theta(t-t')\Delta_\xi(t,t)\Delta(t',t_0)+\theta(t'-t)\Delta_\xi(t,t')\Delta_\xi(t,t_0)}{\Delta_\xi(t_0,t)}$$

so that it can be written that

$$\partial_\xi \Delta_\xi(t_0,t) = \Delta_\xi(t_0,t)\int_{t_0}^{t} dt'\ m^2(t')G_\xi(x,x) = \Delta_\xi(t_0,t)\partial_\xi \log\ \det\Box_\xi$$

It follows that

$$\partial_\xi \log\ \det\Box_\xi\ =\ V_{n-1}\int \frac{d^{n-1}k}{(2\pi)^{n-1}}\partial_\xi \log\ \Delta_\xi(t_0,t)$$

and integrating

$$\log\ \det\Box^{-1}\Box_{\xi=1}\ =\ V_{n-1}\int \frac{d^{n-1}k}{(2\pi)^{n-1}}\log\ \frac{\Delta_{\xi=1}(t_0,t)}{\Delta_{\xi=0}(t_0,t)}$$

The classical solution in momentum space $\phi_c(t,\vec{k})$ is given in our case by

$$\frac{\left(\phi_a(\vec{k})\sqrt{t}Y_\nu(kt)-\phi_b(\vec{k})\sqrt{t_0}Y_\nu(kt_0)\right)\sqrt{t}J_\nu(kt)+\left(\phi_b(\vec{k})\sqrt{t_0}J_\nu(kt_0)-\phi_a(\vec{k})\sqrt{t}J_\nu(kt)\right)\sqrt{t}Y_\nu(kt)}{\sqrt{t_0 t}\left(J_\nu(kt_0)Y_\nu(kt)-J_\nu(kt)Y_\nu(kt_0)\right)}$$

The corresponding on shell action after integration by parts (denoting $d\mu(k)\equiv \frac{d^{n-1}k}{(2\pi)^{n-1}}$) is

$$S_c=\int d\mu(k)\ \phi\dot{\phi}^*\Big|_{t_0}^{t}=\int d\mu(k)\ \left[\phi^*\dot{\phi}\Big|_{t_0}^{t}=\int d\mu(k)\frac{|\phi_b(\vec{k})|^2}{2t}-\frac{|\phi_a(\vec{k})|^2}{2t_0}+\right.$$
$$\frac{k}{J_\nu(kt)Y_\nu(kt_0)-J_\nu(kt_0)Y_\nu(kt)}\left[|\phi_a(\vec{k})|^2\left(J_\nu(kt_0)'\ Y_\nu(kt)-Y_\nu(kt_0)'\ J_\nu(kt)\right)+\right.$$
$$\left.|\phi_b(\vec{k})|^2\left(J_\nu(kt)'\ Y_\nu(kt_0)-Y_\nu(kt)'\ J_\nu(kt_0)\right)+\frac{2}{k\pi\sqrt{t_0 t}}\left(\phi_a(\vec{k})^*\phi_b(\vec{k})+\phi_a(\vec{k})\phi_b^*(\vec{k})\right)\right]$$

The elementary solution is chosen to be

$$\Delta_\xi(t_0,t)=i\pi^2\sqrt{t_0 t}\left(J_\nu(kt_0)Y_\nu(kt)-J_\nu(kt)Y_\nu(kt_0)\right)$$

where

$$\nu(\xi)\equiv\frac{\sqrt{1-4\xi^2\hat{m}^2}}{2}$$

in such a way that

$$\Delta_{\xi=0}(t_0,t)=\frac{2i\pi}{k}\ \sin\,k(t-t_0)$$

The heat kernel then reads

$$K\left[t,\phi_b;t_0,\phi_a\right]=\ N\ \ \exp\,i\int d\mu(k)k\left\{\frac{|\phi_b(\vec{k})|^2}{2t}-\frac{|\phi_a(\vec{k})|^2}{2t_0}+\right.$$
$$\frac{k}{J_bY_a-J_aY_b}\left[|\phi_a(\vec{k})|^2\left(J_a'\ Y_b-Y_a'\ J_b\right)+|\phi_b(\vec{k})|^2\left(J_b'\ Y_a-Y_b'\ J_a\right)+\right.$$
$$\left.\left.\frac{2}{k\pi\sqrt{t_0 t}}\left(\phi_a(\vec{k})^*\phi_b(\vec{k})+\phi_a(\vec{k})\phi_b^*(\vec{k})\right)\ \right]\right\}$$

The pre factor N contains the determinant and is given by the highly divergent quantity

$$N\equiv\ C\ e^{\frac{-V_{n-1}\int d\mu(k)\ \ \log\ \ \Delta_{\xi=1}(t,t_0)}{\Delta_{\xi=0}(t,t_0)}}$$

which depends on (t_0,t) but is independent on the quantum fields $\phi_{a,b}(\vec{k})$ whereas the constant C is independent of (t_0,t) and contains the normalization and the determinant of the free d'Alembertian det $\Box$.

In spite of its formidable appearance, the heat kernel is still a gaussian functional of the fields in momentum space,

$$K\left[t,\phi_b;t_0,\phi_a\right] = \; N\; e^{i\int d\mu(k)\left(\phi^*_a(\vec{k})\phi^*_b(\vec{k})\right)\begin{pmatrix}H(k;t,t_0) & \Delta_{ab}(k;t,t_0)\\ \Delta(k;t,t_0) & G(k;t,t_0)\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\phi^a(\vec{k})\\ \phi^b(\vec{k})\end{pmatrix}} \tag{3.5}$$

where the Green function

$$\mathcal{G}\equiv\begin{pmatrix}H(k;t,t_0) & \Delta(k;t,t_0)\\ \Delta(k;t,t_0) & G(k;t,t_0)\end{pmatrix}$$

has entries

$$\begin{aligned}
H(k;t,t_0) &\equiv \left(-\frac{1}{2t_0}+k\frac{J'_\nu(kt_0)Y_\nu(kt)-Y'_\nu(kt_0)J_\nu(kt)}{J_\nu(kt)Y_\nu(kt_0)-J_\nu(kt_0)Y_\nu(kt)}\right)\\
G(k;t,t_0) &\equiv \left(\frac{1}{2t_0}+k\frac{J'_\nu(kt)Y_\nu(kt_0)-Y'_\nu(kt)J_\nu(kt_0)}{J_\nu(kt)Y_\nu(kt_0)-J_\nu(kt_0)Y_\nu(kt)}\right)=\frac{1}{2t_0}+\frac{1}{2t}+H(k;t_0,t)\\
\Delta(k;t,t_0) &\equiv \frac{2}{k\pi\sqrt{t_0 t}\left(J_\nu(kt)Y_\nu(kt_0)-J_\nu(kt_0)Y_\nu(kt)\right)}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

The kernel just found yields the evolution of any initial wave function through the convolution

$$\Psi[t,\phi]\equiv\int K\left[t,\phi;t_0,\phi_0\right]\Psi_0[\phi_0(\vec{k})]\mathcal{D}\phi_0(\vec{k})\mathcal{D}\phi^*_0(\vec{k})$$

This is the solution of Schrödinger's equation such that

$$\Psi[t=t_0,\phi]=\Psi_0[\phi]$$

It can be easily shown that when the mass is time-independent (that is, $\xi=0$) this formula reduces in the one-dimensional case to Feynman's kernel ([5], page 63) for the harmonic oscillator with the correct normalization, namely

$$K[x_b,t|t_0,x_a]=\sqrt{\frac{m\;\omega}{2\pi i\hbar\,\sin\,\omega(t-t_0)}}\,e^{i\frac{m\;\omega}{2\;\sin\;\omega\;(t-t_0)}\left((x_a^2+x_b^2)\;\cos\;\omega\;(t-t_0)-2x_a x_b\right)}$$

- Let us first analyze the quite natural instance where the initial wave function at $t=t_0$ is a gaussian one, chosen by simplicity as proportional to the lowest energy eigenstate [6]

  $$\Psi_0[\xi]\equiv\; N\; e^{-\int\; d\mu(k)\xi^*_{\vec{k}}G_0(k)\xi_{\vec{k}}}$$

  where

  $$G_0(k)\equiv\frac{1}{2}\omega_0(k)\equiv\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{k^2+m_0^2}$$

The result of the convolution read

$$\Psi[\phi] = \ N \ \det{}^{-1}\left(G_0 - iH\right) \ e^{-\int d\mu(k)\phi^*_{\vec{k}}\left(\Delta(G_0-iH)^{-1}\Delta-iG(k)\right)\phi_{\vec{k}}} \tag{3.7}$$

The determinant appearing as a pre factor depends on the boundary time values, but does not depend on the quantum fields.

- Another quite interesting case is when the total potential in the original field variables corresponds to spontantenous symmetry breaking

  $$V(\psi) = \frac{\lambda}{24}\left(|\psi|^2 - v^2\right)$$

  namely

  $$\psi = \phi = 0$$

  This corresponds to and initial wavefunction

  $$\Psi_0[\phi] = \prod_{\vec{k}} \delta_\epsilon\left(\phi(\vec{k})\right)$$

  where δ_ϵ is a normalizable approximation to Dirac's delta function. In this case we should also make the substitutions

  $$\begin{aligned} m^2 &\rightarrow m^2 + \frac{\lambda}{12}v^2 \equiv m^2 + \sqrt{\frac{\lambda V_{\text{vac}}}{6}} \\ V &\rightarrow \frac{\lambda}{24}v^4 \equiv V_{\text{vac}} \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

  When the vacuum energy is big enough $\hat{m}^2 \geq 1/4$, which means that ν is purely imaginary, $\nu = i|\nu|$.

  The wave function reads

  $$\Psi\left[\phi\right] = N \ e^{\ i\int d\mu(k)|\phi(k)|^2\left(\frac{1}{2t}+\frac{k\left(J_\nu(kt)' \ Y_\nu(kt_0)-Y_\nu(kt)' \ J_\nu(kt_0)\right)}{J_\nu(kt)Y_\nu(kt_0)-J_\nu(kt_0)Y_\nu(kt)}\right)} \tag{3.9}$$

If furthermore we imagine that $t_0 \equiv \eta_a = -\infty$, we can use

$$\frac{J_{i|\nu|}(kt_0)}{Y_{i|\nu|}(kt_0)} \sim \frac{(-1)^{i|\nu|}}{2i}\frac{e^{-ikt_0-i\pi/4+\frac{\pi}{2}|\nu|}}{e^{ikt_0+\frac{\pi}{2}|\nu|+3i\pi/4}} \sim 0$$

as well as

$$\Delta(t_0,t) \sim -\pi^{3/2}\sqrt{\frac{t}{2k}} \ e^{3\pi i/4} \ e^{\pi/2|\nu|} \ e^{ikt_0} \ J_\nu(kt)$$

(Where we have assumed $|\nu|$ large).

The wave function then simplifies enormously:

$$\Psi\left[\phi\right] = N \ e^{\ i\int d\mu(k)|\phi(\vec{k})|^2\left(\frac{1}{2t}+k\frac{J_\nu(kt)'}{J_\nu(kt)}\right)} \tag{3.10}$$

Being a pure phase this function is not normalizable, not even in a formal sense.

4. Energy-momentum tensor and self-consistency.

The energy momentum tensor that sources the gravitational field is given by

$$T_{\mu\nu}(x) \equiv \partial_\mu\psi^*\partial_\nu\psi + \partial_\nu\psi^*\partial_\mu\psi - \frac{1}{(H\eta)^2}\eta_{\mu\nu}\left(\left((H\eta)^2\eta^{\lambda\rho}\partial_\lambda\psi^*\partial_\rho\psi - m^2|\psi|^2\right) - \frac{\lambda}{24}|\psi|^4\right)$$

In order for the physical system to be self-consistent, *id est* to be able to source the gravitational field in which it is propagating,the vacuum expectation value of the energy-momentum tensor must be proportional to the metric tensor

$$\langle\Psi|T_{\mu\nu}|\Psi\rangle = \frac{T}{n}g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{T}{n(H\eta)^2}\eta_{\mu\nu}$$

(with a constant of proportionality: $\partial_\alpha T = 0$). This completely fixes the admissible coordinate dependence.

In momentum space the non-interacting piece of the energy-momentum tensor have got the general structure

$$T_{\mu\nu}(x) \equiv \int \frac{d^{n-1}k}{(2\pi)^{n-1}}\frac{d^{n-1}p}{(2\pi)^{n-1}}\; e^{i(\vec{p}-\vec{k})\vec{x}}\; t_{\mu\nu}(\eta,\vec{k},\vec{p})$$

where in particular

$$\begin{aligned}
&t_{00}(\eta,\vec{p},\vec{k}) = \dot{\psi}^*_{\vec{k}}(\eta)\dot{\psi}_{\vec{p}}(\eta) + \left(\vec{k}.\vec{p} + \frac{m^2}{(H\eta)^2}\right)\psi^*_{\vec{k}}(\eta)\psi_{\vec{p}}(\eta)\\
&t_{0i}(\eta,\vec{p},\vec{k}) = i(p_i - k_i)\dot{\psi}^*_{\vec{k}}(\eta)\psi_{\vec{p}}(\eta)\\
&t_{ij}(\eta,\vec{p},\vec{k}) = (k_i p_j + k_j p_i)\,\psi^*_{\vec{k}}(\eta)\psi_{\vec{p}}(\eta) +\\
&\frac{\delta_{ij}}{(H\eta)^2}\left[(H\eta)^2\left(\dot{\psi}^*_{\vec{k}}(\eta)\dot{\psi}_{\vec{p}}(\eta) - \vec{k}.\vec{p}\psi^*_{\vec{k}}(\eta)\psi_{\vec{p}}(\eta)\right) - m^2\psi^*_{\vec{k}}(\eta)\psi_{\vec{p}}(\eta)\right]
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

We can properly dub $t_{\mu\nu}(\eta,\vec{k},\vec{p})$ the energy-momentum tensor in momentum space, with the understanding that it has to be integrated as dictated by [4] before getting the real energy momentum tensor out of it.

When we are interested in computing global quantities, such as the total energy-momentum content

$$P^\mu \equiv \int d^{n-1}x\; T^{\mu 0}(\eta,\vec{x})$$

the integration in position space enforces spacial momentum conservation, so that it suffices for those purposes with the *diagonal* piece of the energy-momentum tensor in momentum space

$$t_{\mu\nu}(\eta,\vec{k}) \equiv t_{\mu\nu}(\eta,\vec{k},\vec{k})$$

This is enough for our purposes in the present paragraph, in which we would like to examine some questions of elementary consistency.

Before being able to use in our benefit the mapping from physics in de Sitter space to physics in Minkowski space, it is necessary we want to express all results in terms of the minkowskian field

$$\psi = (H\eta)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\phi$$

where the factors of H have been put in so that both fields enjoy the same dimension, and use the name $t \equiv \eta$ when working in Minkowskian setting [3]

$$\partial_\eta \psi = (Ht)^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \left(\frac{n-2}{2}\frac{\phi}{t} + \dot{\phi} \right)$$

so that

$$|\partial_\eta \psi|^2 = (Ht)^{n-2} \left(\frac{(n-2)^2}{4}\frac{|\phi|^2}{t^2} + |\partial_t \phi|^2 + \frac{n-2}{2t}\left(\phi^* \partial_t \phi + \phi \partial_t \phi^*\right) \right)$$

The diagonal *energy density* in momentum space reads then

$$t_{\eta\eta} \equiv |\partial_\eta \psi_{\vec{k}}|^2 + k^2 |\psi_{\vec{k}}|^2 + \frac{1}{(H\eta)^2} m^2 |\psi_{\vec{k}}|^2 =$$
$$(Ht)^{n-2}\left[|\partial_t \phi_{\vec{k}}|^2 + k^2 |\phi_{\vec{k}}|^2 + \frac{n-2}{2t}\left(\phi^*_{\vec{k}} \partial_t \phi_{\vec{k}} + \phi_{\vec{k}} \partial_t \phi^*_{\vec{k}}\right) + \frac{1}{(Ht)^2}\left(m^2 + \frac{(n-2)^2 H^2}{4} \right) |\phi_{\vec{k}}|^2 \right]$$

The diagonal space-space components of the energy-momentum tensor are

$$t_{ij} = 2k_i k_j |\psi_{\vec{k}}|^2 + \delta_{ij}\left(|\partial_\eta \psi_{\vec{k}}|^2 - k^2 |\psi_{\vec{k}}|^2 - \frac{m^2}{(H\eta)^2}|\psi_{\vec{k}}|^2 \right) =$$
$$(Ht)^{n-2}\left[2k_i k_j |\phi_{\vec{k}}|^2 + \delta_{ij}\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\left(\frac{(n-2)^2}{4} - \frac{m^2}{H^2} - k^2 t^2 \right) |\phi_{\vec{k}}|^2 + |\partial_t \phi_{\vec{k}}|^2 + \frac{n-2}{t}\left(\phi^*_{\vec{k}} \partial_t \phi_{\vec{k}} + \phi_{\vec{k}} \partial_t \phi^*_{\vec{k}}\right) \right) \right]$$

and the diagonal mixed time-space components read

$$t_{\eta i} = (Ht)^{n-2}\left(\left(\partial_t \phi^*_{\vec{k}} + \frac{n-2}{2t}\phi^*_{\vec{k}} \right) k_i \phi_k + \left(\partial_t \phi_{\vec{k}} + \frac{n-2}{2t}\phi_{\vec{k}} \right) k_i \phi^*_{\vec{k}} \right)$$

Next, the energy momentum is mapped into an Schrödinger operator through

$$\pi_{\vec{k}} \equiv \partial_t \phi^*_{\vec{k}} \to -i\frac{\delta}{\delta \phi_{\vec{k}}}$$

$$\pi^*_{\vec{k}} \equiv \partial_t \phi_{\vec{k}} \to -i\frac{\delta}{\delta \phi^*_{\vec{k}}}$$

The corresponding expectation values are then given by

$$\langle t_{tt} \rangle \equiv N(t)\frac{1}{2}(Ht)^{n-2} \int \mathcal{D}\phi_{\vec{k}}\ \mathcal{D}\phi^*_{\vec{k}}\ \Psi^*[\phi] \left[-\frac{\delta^2}{\delta \phi^*_{\vec{k}}(t) \delta \phi_{\vec{k}}(t)} - \frac{n-2}{2t} i \left(\phi^*_{\vec{k}} \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi^*_{\vec{k}}} + \phi_{\vec{k}} \frac{\delta}{\delta \phi_{\vec{k}}} \right) + \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{1}{(Ht)^2}\left(m^2 + k^2 (Ht)^2 + \frac{(n-2)^2 H^2}{4} \right) |\phi_{\vec{k}}|^2 \right] \Psi[\phi]$$

as well as

$$\langle t_{ij}\rangle \equiv N(t)(Ht)^{n-2}\int \mathcal{D}\phi_{\vec{k}}\ \mathcal{D}\phi^*_{\vec{k}}\ \Psi^*[\phi]\ \left[2k_ik_j|\phi_{\vec{k}}|^2+\delta_{ij}\left(\frac{1}{t^2}\left(\frac{(n-2)^2}{4}-\frac{m^2}{H^2}-k^2t^2\right)|\phi_{\vec{k}}|^2-\right.\right.$$
$$\left.\left.-\frac{\delta^2}{\delta\phi_{\vec{k}}\delta\phi^*_{\vec{k}}}-\frac{n-2}{t}i\left(\phi^*_{\vec{k}}\frac{\delta}{\delta\phi^*_{\vec{k}}}+\phi_{\vec{k}}\frac{\delta}{\delta\phi_{\vec{k}}}\right)\right)\right]\Psi[\phi]$$

and finally

$$\langle t_{ti}\rangle \equiv N(t)(Ht)^{n-2}\int \mathcal{D}\phi_{\vec{k}}\ \mathcal{D}\phi^*_{\vec{k}}\ \Psi[\phi_{\vec{k}}]\left[\left(-i\frac{\delta}{\delta\phi_{\vec{k}}}+\frac{n-2}{2t}\phi^*\right)k_i\phi+\left(-i\frac{\delta}{\delta\phi^*_{\underline{2}_k}}+\frac{n-2}{2t}\phi_{\vec{k}}\right)k_i\phi^*\right]\Psi[\phi$$

Assuming rotational symmetry in momentum space, so that

$$\langle t_{ti}\rangle = 0$$

$$\langle t_{ij}\rangle \sim\rangle\delta_{ij}$$

What it is needed is, besides, that

$$\langle t_{ij}\rangle = -\langle t_{tt}\rangle\delta_{ij}$$

A sufficient condition [1] for consistency [4] is that

$$\mathcal{H}\Psi[\phi] = 0$$

where

$$\mathcal{H} \equiv \left[-\frac{\delta^2}{\delta\phi^*_{\vec{k}}(t)\delta\phi_{\vec{k}}(t)}-\frac{n-2}{2t}i\left(\phi^*_{\vec{k}}(t)\frac{\delta}{\delta\phi^*_{\vec{k}}(t)}+\phi_{\vec{k}}(t)\frac{\delta}{\delta\phi_{\vec{k}}(t)}\right)+\left(k^2+\frac{(n-2)^2}{4t^2}\right)|\phi_{\vec{k}}(t)|^2\right]$$

which has to hold true for every $\vec{k}$.

Assume the wave function depends only on $x \equiv |\phi_{\vec{k}}|^2$. The equation then reduces to

$$x^2\Psi''+\left(1+\frac{n-2}{t}ix^2\right)\Psi'-\left(k^2+\frac{(n-2)^2}{4t^2}\right)x^2\Psi = 0$$

It is easy to realize that there are no regular solutions of the above. Indeed, analyzing the particular instance of this equation for the global zero mode $\vec{k}=\vec{0}$, whose solutions belong to the kernel of the operator.

$$\mathcal{H}_0 \equiv -\frac{\delta^2}{\delta\phi^*_0(t)\delta\phi_0(t)}-\frac{n-2}{2t}i\left(\phi^*_0(t)\frac{\delta}{\delta\phi^*_0(t)}+\phi_0(t)\frac{\delta}{\delta\phi_0(t)}\right)+\frac{(n-2)^2}{4t^2}|\phi_0(t)|^2$$

we shall show that there are no solutions of this type.

---

[1] In the sense that we force the integrand to vanish, not only the full integral.

In order to do that, let us define (from now on, $\phi \equiv \phi_{\vec{k}=\vec{0}}(t)$)

$$\mathcal{D} \equiv \frac{\delta}{\delta\phi} + i\frac{n-2}{2t}\phi^*$$
$$\bar{\mathcal{D}} \equiv \frac{\delta}{\delta\phi^*} + i\frac{n-2}{2t}\phi \tag{4.2}$$

Then

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = -\mathcal{D}\bar{\mathcal{D}} + i\frac{n-2}{2t}\Delta_\infty$$

where the divergent quantity L stands for

$$\Delta_\infty \equiv \frac{\delta\phi_{k=0}(t)}{\delta\phi_{k=0}(t)} = \delta^{n-1}(\vec{k}=\vec{0}) \equiv V_{n-1}$$

V_{n-1} being the spacial volume. (Remember that we are in a fixed-time hamiltonian setting.)

The kernel of $\mathcal{D}$ (which is the same as the one of $\bar{\mathcal{D}}$) is generated by

$$e^{-i\frac{n-2}{2t\Delta_\infty}|\phi|^2 + f(\phi^*)}$$

so that in order to solve the auxiliary equation

$$\mathcal{D}\bar{\mathcal{D}}\Psi = 0$$

all we have to do is to impose

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}}\Psi = e^{-i\frac{n-2}{2t\Delta_\infty}|\phi|^2 + f(\phi^*)}$$

which yields

$$\Psi = e^{-i\frac{n-2}{2t\Delta_\infty}|\phi|^2 + g(\phi^*)}$$

The contribution of the zero mode to the wave function is then

$$\Psi = e^{-i\frac{n-2}{2t\Delta_\infty}|\phi|^2 + i\frac{n-2}{t}\phi^*}$$

which is clearly not a function of x alone.

This shows conclusively that the solution of Shrödinger's equation [3.9] gives rise to an energy momentum tensor incompatible with the de Sitter symmetry. This in turn means that the back reaction of the metric will modify the gravitational background. This is presumably the same [2] physical effect of *vacuum energy decay* [8][1]. How important is this effect? In the second paper of [1] a Minkowskian model was studied, corresponding to a lagrangian

$$L = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\phi)^2 - \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2 - \frac{1}{6}\lambda(t)\phi^3$$

---

[2] This clearly cannot be the full story, because vacuum decay vanishes when $\lambda = 0$, which is the case asymptotically for our wave function.

with a time-dependent coupling given by

$$\lambda(t) = \frac{\lambda}{2}(1 + \cos\,\epsilon\ t)$$

The model was chosen carefully for its simplicity; yet the results could perhaps be useful. It does not map properly to de Sitter space (or to any other conformally flat space for that matter) because the mass is kept constant. Yet ignoring this fact, the conformal factor would be (denoting as usual conformal time by $\eta \equiv t$), and wring in four dimensions $n = 4$ for simplicity,

$$a(\eta) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos\,\eta\ \epsilon)$$

If we want it to be a growing function then we have to restrict

$$-\pi \leq \eta\ \epsilon \leq 0$$

The *proper comoving time* is given by

$$t_c = -\int d\eta \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos\,\eta\ \epsilon) = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\eta + \frac{\sin\,\eta\ \epsilon}{\epsilon}\right)$$

(the minus sign guarantees that $\frac{dT}{d\eta} > 0$). In that way

$$-\frac{\pi}{2\epsilon} \leq t_c \leq 0$$

Close to the upper limit $\eta\epsilon \sim 0$, both times are proportional

$$t_c = -\eta$$

and

$$a \sim 1 - \frac{t_c^2 \epsilon^2}{4}$$

The minkowskian vacuum decay rate was found to be

$$\Gamma_{0\rightarrow 3} = \frac{VT\lambda^2}{3072\pi^3}\int_m^{\frac{\epsilon^2 - 3m^2}{2\epsilon}} dE\ I(E, \epsilon)$$

with

$$I(E, \epsilon) = \frac{\sqrt{(E^2 - m^2)(\epsilon^2 + m^2 - 2E\epsilon)(\epsilon^2 - 3m^2 - 2\epsilon E)}}{m^2 + \epsilon^2 - 2\epsilon E}$$

This depends linearly on time (this is just Fermi's golden rule). On the other hand the comoving volume grows in three space-time dimensions as

$$V_c \equiv a^3(t_c) \sim 1 - 3\frac{t_c^2 \epsilon^2}{4}$$

$$\frac{\partial V_c}{\partial t_c} - 3\frac{t_c \epsilon^2}{2} > 0$$

(remember that $t_c \leq 0$). This means that, at least in our model, the decay rate does not grow as fast as the comoving volume, which is what would have been necessary to compete with the vacuum energy or the cosmological constant.

5. Divergences.

Let us examine again the expression for the energy density. It will prove extremely instructive to first discuss the situation in flat space. We know from the previous paragraph that

$$\rho(\eta,\vec{x}) = \int \frac{d^{n-1}k}{(2\pi)^{n-1}}\frac{d^{n-1}p}{(2\pi)^{n-1}}\ e^{i(\vec{p}-\vec{k})\vec{x}}\ \langle\Psi|t_{tt}(\eta,\vec{k},\vec{p})|\Psi\rangle$$

Let us concentrate in the expectation value of the energy-momentum tensor in momentum space.

$$\langle\Psi|t_{tt}(\eta,\vec{k},\vec{p})|\Psi\rangle \equiv N(t)\int \mathcal{D}\phi_{\vec{k}}\mathcal{D}\phi^*_{\vec{k}}\ \Psi^*[\phi]\left[-\frac{\delta^2}{\delta\phi^*_{\vec{k}}(t)\delta\phi_{\vec{p}}(t)}+\omega(k,p)^2\phi^*_{\vec{k}}\phi_{\vec{p}}\right]\Psi[\phi]$$

(with $\omega(k,p)^2 \equiv \vec{k}.\vec{p}+m^2$) and where the gaussian wave function [6]

$$\Psi \equiv N\ e^{-\int d\mu(k)\phi^*_k\omega(k)\phi_k}$$

which corresponds to the standard Minkowski vacuum[3] is employed.

A straightforward computation yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle\Psi|t_{tt}(\eta,\vec{k},\vec{p})|\Psi\rangle = N(t)\ \left(-\omega(k)\delta^{(n-1)}\left(\vec{p}-\vec{k}\right)+\left(\omega(p)\omega(k)+\omega^2(k,p)\right)\frac{1}{\omega(k)}\delta^{(n-1)}\left(\vec{p}-\vec{k}\right)\right) = \\ &N(t)\ \omega(k)\delta^{(n-1)}\left(\vec{p}-\vec{k}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

---

[3] Formally

$$N^2 = \det\,\omega(k)$$

Regulating it through zeta function leads to

$$\log\,N^2 = \int\frac{d^{n-1}}{\mu^{n-1}}\log\,\frac{\omega_k}{\mu}$$

Now the integral can be easily computed

$$I(m^2) \equiv \int d^{n-1}k\ \log(k^2+m^2)$$

is such that

$$\frac{\partial I}{\partial m^2} = \int d^{n-1}k\ \frac{1}{k^2+m^2} = m^{n-1}\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\Gamma(1-n/2)$$

so that

$$I(m^2) = \frac{2}{n+1}m^{1+n}\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\Gamma(1-n/2)+C$$

where C is a mass-independent constant. All this yields

$$\log\,N = \frac{1}{2}\mu^{1-n}I(m^2)+D(\mu) = \frac{1}{2}\mu^{1-n}\frac{2}{n+1}m^{1+n}\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\Gamma(1-n/2)+C(\mu)$$

where again, $D(\mu)$ is a mass-independent constant.

conveying the fact that the total energy density of the Minkowski vacuum is independent of the position and given by the formal sum of zero point energies through

$$\rho(t) = N(t) \int \frac{d^{n-1}k}{(2\pi)^{n-1}} \frac{\omega(k)}{(2\pi)^{n-1}}$$

which diverges as a momentum cutoff Λ^n. Vacuum energy densities are usually expressed as differences between the total energy density in the physical situation considered and this reference vacuum energy density.

Let us now turn our attention to a different theory in Minkowski space, namely the one coming from the mapping from de Sitter space.

$$\langle\Psi|t_{tt}(\eta,\vec{p},\vec{k})|\Psi\rangle \equiv N(t)\frac{1}{2}(Ht)^{n-2}\int \mathcal{D}\phi_{\vec{k}}\mathcal{D}\phi^*_{\vec{k}}\ \Psi^*[\phi]\ \left[\left(-i\frac{\delta}{\delta\phi^*_{\vec{k}}}+\frac{n-2}{2t}\phi^*_{\vec{k}}\right)\left(-i\frac{\delta}{\delta\phi_{\vec{p}}}+\frac{n-2}{2t}\phi_{\vec{p}}\right)+\right.$$
$$\left.\left(\frac{m^2}{(Ht)^2}+\vec{k}.\vec{p}\right)\phi^*_{\vec{k}}\phi_{\vec{p}}\right]\Psi[\phi]$$

where in general

$$\Psi[t,\phi] \equiv \int K\left[t,\phi;t_0,\xi\right]\Psi_0[\xi_{\vec{k}}]\mathcal{D}\xi_{\vec{k}}$$

In the simplest physical situation where the initial wave function is the lowest energy gaussian one [3.7]

$$\Psi[\phi] = \mathcal{N}\ \ e^{-\int d\mu(k)\phi^*_{\vec{k}}\ \mathcal{D}(k)\ \phi_{\vec{k}}} \tag{5.2}$$

with $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{N} \equiv&\ \det{}^{-1}(G_0 - iH)\\ \mathcal{D}(k) \equiv&\ \ \Delta(k)\left(G_0(k) - iH(k)\right)^{-1}\Delta + G(k)\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

It is important to realize that the operator $\mathcal{D}(k)$ is still diagonal in momentum space. It follows that

$$\langle\Psi|t_{tt}(\eta,\vec{p},\vec{k})|\Psi\rangle \equiv N(t)\frac{1}{2}(Ht)^{n-2}\int \mathcal{D}\phi_{\vec{k}}\mathcal{D}\phi^*_{\vec{k}}\ \Psi^*[\phi]\ \left[\mathcal{D}(k)\delta^{n-1}(\vec{p}-\vec{k}) - \mathcal{D}(k)\mathcal{D}(p)\phi_{\vec{k}}\phi^*_{\vec{p}}+\right.$$
$$\left.i\frac{n-2}{2t}\left(\phi_{\vec{k}}\phi_{\vec{p}}+\phi^*_{\vec{k}}\phi^*_{\vec{p}}\right)+\left(\frac{m^2}{(Ht)^2}+\vec{k}.\vec{p}+\left(\frac{n-2}{2t}\right)^2\right)\phi^*_{\vec{k}}\phi_{\vec{p}}\right]\Psi[\phi]$$

The gaussian integrals are easily done using the corresponding propagator

$$\mathcal{D}^{-1}(\vec{k},\vec{p}) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}(k)}\delta^{n-1}(\vec{k}-\vec{p})$$

namely

$$\langle\Psi|t_{tt}(\eta,\vec{p},\vec{k})|\Psi\rangle \equiv N(t)\frac{1}{2}(Ht)^{n-2}\left(\mathcal{D}(k)\delta^{n-1}(\vec{k}-\vec{p}) - \mathcal{D}(k)\mathcal{D}(p)\frac{1}{\mathcal{D}(k)}\delta^{n-1}(\vec{k}-\vec{p}) + \left(\frac{m^2}{(Ht)^2} + \vec{k}.\vec{p} + \left(\frac{n-2}{2t}\right)^2\right)\frac{1}{\mathcal{D}(k)}\delta^{n-1}(\vec{p}-\vec{k})\right) = N(t)\frac{1}{2}(Ht)^{n-2}\left(\frac{m^2}{(Ht)^2} + \vec{k}.\vec{p} + \left(\frac{n-2}{2t}\right)^2\right)\frac{1}{\mathcal{D}(k)}\delta^{n-1}(\vec{p}-\vec{k}) \tag{5.4}$$

The energy density then reads

$$\rho(t) = \mathcal{N}(t)\frac{1}{2}(Ht)^{n-2}\int\frac{d^{n-1}k}{(2\pi)^{n-1}}\left(\frac{m^2}{(Ht)^2} + k^2 + \left(\frac{n-2}{2t}\right)^2\right)\frac{1}{\mathcal{D}(k)} \tag{5.5}$$

The explicit expression for the inverse propagator [4] reads

$$\mathcal{D} = \left(\frac{2}{k\pi\sqrt{t_0 t}\,(J_\nu(kt)Y_\nu(kt_0) - J_\nu(kt_0)Y_\nu(kt))}\right)^2 \frac{1}{G_0(k) - i\left(-\frac{1}{2t_0} + k\frac{J'_\nu(kt_0)Y_\nu(kt) - Y'_\nu(kt_0)J_\nu(kt)}{J_\nu(kt)Y_\nu(kt_0) - J_\nu(kt_0)Y_\nu(kt)}\right)} + \left(\frac{1}{2t_0} + k\frac{J'_\nu(kt)Y_\nu(kt_0) - Y'_\nu(kt)J_\nu(kt_0)}{J_\nu(kt)Y_\nu(kt_0) - J_\nu(kt_0)Y_\nu(kt)}\right) \tag{5.7}$$

All divergences of the energy-momentum tensor in the ordinary Fock space quantization (as reviewed, for example, in [3]) are formally encoded in the former expression [5.5] (*confer* [4] for a similar analysis).

It is expected that these divergences are renormalized by the usual counter terms in the gravitational action. In the absence of a detailed study, all previous results remain at a formal level.

---

[4] The determinant needed for normalization can be easily defined via zeta-function leading to

$$\log\,\det\,\mathcal{D} = n\,\log\,\mu\,\zeta(0) + \frac{V_{n-1}}{(2\pi)^{n-1}}\int d^{n-1}k\int_0^\infty dt\,\log\,\mathcal{D}(t,k) \tag{5.6}$$

6. Conclusions

We have computed the wave function of a charged scalar field al *late* conformal times, where the word late refers to a critical time $\eta_{\rm crit}$ that depends on the matter content. This physical problem can be mapped to the problem of computing the wave function for a charged scalar field propagating in Minkowski space-time with a time-dependent mass.

It is easy to show that the energy-momentum tensor computed out of this wave function cannot be compatible with the de Sitter symmetry, so that the back reaction of the matter on the background metric will in general draw the space-time metric out of the de Sitter space; there is no self-consistency.

It can be argued from physical grounds, however, that at least in some computable examples previously studied ([1]), particles created through vacuum decay are diluted by the expansion.

A final caveat stems from the fact that the divergences appearing in the computation of the energy-momentum tensor indicate the need of renormalize the said energy momentum tensor as a composite operator through counterterms in the gravitational action. This renders the previous analysis somewhat formal in the absence of a detailed study (cf. however [9]) , although we believe it to be physically correct.

Acknowledgments

This work grew up as an attempt to answer incisive questions from Jaume Garriga and Enric Verdaguer. I am grateful to them. I am also grateful to Roberto Vidal for discussions and to Sonia Paban for e-mail exchange. It has been partially supported by the European Commission (HPRN-CT-200-00148) as well as by FPA2009-09017 (DGI del MCyT, Spain) and S2009ESP-1473 (CA Madrid).

References

[1] E. Alvarez and R. Vidal, “Finite Time Vacuum Survival Amplitude and Vacuum Energy Decay,” Phys. Rev. D **84** (2011) 105009 [Phys. Rev. D **84** (2011) 109904] [arXiv:1107.3134 [hep-th]].
“Comments on the vacuum energy decay,” JCAP **1011** (2010) 043 [arXiv:1004.4867 [hep-th]].

[2] E. Alvarez and A. F. Faedo, “Renormalized Kaluza-Klein theories,” JHEP **0605**, 046 (2006) [hep-th/0602150].

[3] J. Birrell and PCW. Davies, “Quantum Fields In Curved Space,” Cambridge, Uk: Univ. Pr. (1982) 340p

[4] D. Carney, W. Fischler, S. Paban and N. Sivanandam, “The Inflationary Wavefunction and its Initial Conditions,” arXiv:1109.6566 [hep-th].

[5] RP. Feynman and AR Hibbs, *Quantum Mechanics and Path Integrals* (McGraw Hill,1965)

[6] R. Jackiw, “Analysis On Infinite Dimensional Manifolds: Schrodinger Representation For Quantized Fields,” In *Jackiw, R.: Diverse topics in theoretical and mathematical physics* 383-445.

[7] H. Kleinert, A. Chervyakov, “Simple explicit formulas for Gaussian path integrals with time dependent frequencies,” Phys. Lett. **A245**, 345-357 (1998). [quant-ph/9803016].

[8] A. M. Polyakov, “Decay of Vacuum Energy,” Nucl. Phys. B **834**, 316 (2010) [arXiv:0912.5503 [hep-th]].

[9] G. Perez-Nadal, A. Roura and E. Verdaguer, “Backreaction from non-conformal quantum fields in de Sitter spacetime,” Class. Quant. Grav. **25**, 154013 (2008) [arXiv:0806.2634 [gr-qc]].